

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/830,795	04/30/2001		Marten Stjernstrom	P0214	3545
26271	7590	04/21/2004	•	EXAMINER	
FULBRIG!	HT & JAV	WORSKI, LLP	HANDY, DWAYNE K		
1301 MCKI SUITE 5100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095				1743	11

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/830,795	STJERNSTROM, MARTEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dwayne K Handy	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3}  Hnformation-Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/830,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 6-8, 10, 12 and 13 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Litborn et al. (W) 98/33052). This rejection remains in effect.

  Please see Response to Arguments below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,795

Art Unit: 1743

á. 😘

4. Claims 9 and 11 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Litborn et al. (WO 98/33052) in view of Mian et al. (6,319,469). This rejection is also upheld. Please see Response to Arguments below.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In traversing the Examiner's previous arguments, applicant has amended the claims to include reactants in the added microvolume of solvent and then argued that this feature is enough to over come the prior art cited. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. On page 9, lines 27-30, Litborn clearly states that "it can also be of value to include one or more of the reactants to be used in the operation in said covering liquid to feed the same, even sequentially, to the reaction". The Examiner believes this is a clear teaching of having the reactants in the microvolume of added solvent since the covering liquid is a solvent. Litborn also teaches the extraction of components from the sample into the solvent as well. Therefore, the claims remain rejected.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/830,795

Art Unit: 1743

. 😘

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,795

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH April 19, 2004

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Page 5